	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Interview Summary	09/835,491	OZAWA ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thoi V. Duong	2871						
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):							
(1) Thoi V. Duong.	(3)							
(2) <u>Randi Isaacs (Reg. No. 56,046)</u> . (4)								
Date of Interview: <u>13 December 2005</u> .								
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]								
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.							
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 10.								
entification of prior art discussed: <u>Kadota, Kubota, Bos, Numano, Park and Hattori</u> .								
Agreement with respect to the claims f)□ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N/A.								
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>112 1st rejection of claim</u> The Examiner was concerned about the data line formed of the However, the Examiner will reconsider the rejection of claim	<u>1 and 103 rejection of claims</u> f shading film in Figs. 1 and 2	1 and 10 were discussed. with respect to 112 rejection.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w	reed would render the claims yould render the claims						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.								
		·						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

		App	licant Initiate	d Interview Reque	st Form					
Applic Exami	cation No.: 09/83 iner: T. DUONG	5,491 Art U		ed Applicant: Kinya C Status of A	DZAWA pplication: Per	nding				
Tent2 (1) _	tive Participants: Examiner Duong		(2) _							
(3) Randi Isaacs, Reg. No. 56,046 (4)										
Proposed Date of Interview: Tuesday, 12/13/05 Proposed Time: 10 AMPM)										
Type of Interview Requested: (1) Telephonic (2) Personal (3) Video Conference										
Exhil If yes	bit To Be Shown or s, provide brief des	Demonstrated	: YES							
Issues To Be Discussed										
Issue (Rei	es ., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art		Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed			
(1)	Obj.	10								
(2)	§112, 1 st paragraph Rej.	1								
(3)	§103(a) Rej.	1 and 2	et al.; U.S. Pate Kubota et al., V 6,141,074 to B No. 6,313,898	o. 5,818,550 to Kadota ent No. 5,808,595, to U.S. Patent No. los et al., U.S. Patent , to Numano et al., and o. 6,160,535 to Park						
(4)	§103(a) Rej.	10 and	U.S. Patent No	o. 6,515,725 to Hattori						
	Continuation Sheet	Attached								
Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented: (1) Detailed explanation of application; (2) review features of independent claims 1 and 10; and (3) discussion of amendment to claim 10 filed in November 22 Amendment, discussion of how claim 1 satisfies the requirements of 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph; discussion of how claim 1 distinguishes over the applied references; and that Hattori does not constitute prior art.										
An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on										
NO Th	OTE:	mpleted by appli	cant and submitted	to the examiner in advance applicant's failure to submit Interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)	a written record	of this intervi	713.01). ew. Therefore,			
- (4	Applicant/Applicant	's Representativ	e Signature)	(Examiner	/SPE Signature)					